

**1. Judicial involvement in voucher review, including panel attorney fear of retaliation for zealous advocacy or questioning a judge's ruling:** To what extent, if any, should judges be involved in voucher review? ▪ If not judges, then who should do it? ▪ What is the alternative to the current system?

**2. Voucher review procedures and the impact of unwarranted voucher reductions on quality of CJA representation:** How could the current system be improved?

**3. Expert services requests and approval process:** To what extent, if any, should judges be involved in the approval of expert services requests? ▪ If not judges, then who should do it? ▪ What is the alternative to the current system?

**4. Panel administration:** What entity should administer the panel? ▪ What best practices should be used? ▪ Does fear of removal from the panel or lack of future appointments affect the quality of representation provided?

**5. Perception of panel attorney quality:** How does the quality of panel attorney representation compare to that of retained counsel and federal defender staff? ▪ How could the perception of panel attorney representation be improved? ▪ Do panel attorneys need more access to substantive training? ▪ If so, how can training for panel attorneys be improved?